



# Changes in species composition of European acid grasslands observed along a gradient of nitrogen deposition

Carly Stevens, Cecilia Duprè, Cassandre Gaudnik, Edu Dorland, Nancy Dise, David Gowing, Albert Bleeker, Didier Alard, Roland Bobbink, David Fowler, Vigdis Vandvik, Emmanuel Corcket, J. Owen Mountford, Per Arild Aarrestad, Serge Muller & Martin Diekmann

## Keywords

Acid grassland; Climate; Nitrogen deposition; Ordination; Soil biogeochemistry; Variation partitioning; *Violin caninae*

Received 11 September 2010

Accepted 9 December 2010

Co-ordinating Editor: Rasmus Ejrnæs

**Stevens, C.** (corresponding author, c.j.stevens@open.ac.uk): Department of Life Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK; Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK

**Duprè, C.** (dupre@uni-bremen.de) &

**Diekmann, M.** (mdiekman@uni-bremen.de): Institute of Ecology, FB 2, University of Bremen, Leobener Str., DE-28359 Bremen, Germany

**Gaudnik, C.** (c.gaudnik@ecologie.

u-bordeaux1.fr), **Alard, D.** (d.alard@ecologie.

u-bordeaux1.fr) & **Corcket, E.**

(e.corcket@ecologie.u-bordeaux1.fr):

Université de Bordeaux, UMR 1202 BioGeCo, Bât B8 RdC, Av des Facultés, F-33405 Talence, France

**Dorland, E.** (edu.dorland@kwrwater.nl):

Section of Landscape Ecology, Department of Geobiology, Utrecht University, PO Box 80084, 3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands;

Staatsbosbeheer, Postbus 1300, 3970 BH

Driebergen, The Netherlands

**Dise, N.** (n.dise@mmu.ac.uk): Department of

Environmental and Geographical Science,

Manchester Metropolitan University,

Manchester M1 5GD, UK

**Gowing, D.** (d.j.gowing@open.ac.uk):

Department of Life Sciences, The Open

University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7

6AA, UK

**Bleeker, A.** (a.bleeker@ecm.nl): Department of

Air Quality & Climate Change, Energy Research

Centre of the Netherlands, PO Box 1, 1755, ZG

Petten, The Netherlands

**Bobbink, R.** (r.bobbink@b-ware.eu): B-WARE

Research Centre, Radboud University, PO Box

9010, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

## Abstract

**Question:** Which environmental variables affect floristic species composition of acid grasslands in the Atlantic biogeographic region of Europe along a gradient of atmospheric N deposition?

**Location:** Transect across the Atlantic biogeographic region of Europe including Ireland, Great Britain, Isle of Man, France, Belgium, The Netherlands, Germany, Norway, Denmark and Sweden.

**Materials and Methods:** In 153 acid grasslands we assessed plant and bryophyte species composition, soil chemistry (pH, base cations, metals, nitrate and ammonium concentrations, total C and N, and Olsen plant available phosphorus), climatic variables, N deposition and S deposition. Ordination and variation partitioning were used to determine the relative importance of different drivers on the species composition of the studied grasslands.

**Results:** Climate, soil and deposition variables explained 24% of the total variation in species composition. Variance partitioning showed that soil variables explained the most variation in the data set and that climate and geographic variables accounted for slightly less variation. Deposition variables (N and S deposition) explained 9.8% of the variation in the ordination. Species positively associated with N deposition included *Holcus mollis* and *Leontodon hispidus*. Species negatively associated with N deposition included *Agrostis curtisii*, *Leontodon autumnalis*, *Campanula rotundifolia* and *Hylocomium splendens*.

**Conclusion:** Although secondary to climate gradients and soil biogeochemistry, and not as strong as for species richness, the impact of N and S deposition on species composition can be detected in acid grasslands, influencing community composition both directly and indirectly, presumably through soil-mediated effects.

**Fowler, D.** (dfo@ceh.ac.uk): Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian EH26 0QB, UK

**Vandvik, V.** (vigdis.vandvik@bio.uib.no):

Department of Biology, University of Bergen,

Box 7800 N-5020 Bergen, Norway

**Mountford, J. O.** (om@ceh.ac.uk): Centre for

Ecology & Hydrology, MacLean Building,

Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford,

Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK

**Aarrestad, P. A.** (per.a.aarrestad@nina.no):

Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, NO-

7485 Trondheim, Norway

**Muller, S.** (muller@univ-metz.fr): Laboratoire

des Interactions Ecotoxicologie, Biodiversité et

Ecosystèmes (LIEBE), UMR CNRS 7146, U.F.R.

Sci. F.A., Campus Bridoux, Université Paul

Verlaine, Avenue du Général Delestraint F

57070 Metz, France

## Introduction

The global nitrogen (N) cycle has been transformed by human activities. The global creation of reactive N increased by a factor of ten, from 15 to 156 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> between 1860 and 1995 and by a further 31 to 187 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> between 1995 and 2005 (Galloway et al. 2008). With continued growth of the world population and increasing demand for food, pressures on the global N cycle are set to increase. Excess reactive N in the atmosphere is deposited to terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems as wet and dry deposition. Atmospheric deposition of reactive N is considered a global threat to biodiversity (Sala et al. 2000; Phoenix et al. 2006). Levels of N deposition in Western Europe are among the highest in the world (Galloway et al. 2008), and although there have been small declines in deposition in some regions in recent years (Fagerli & Aas 2008), deposition of N remains high in many areas and critical loads are exceeded in many parts of Europe (Galloway et al. 2008). Sulphur (S) deposition has also increased steadily through the twentieth century, peaking in the 1980s. Between 1880 and 1991 cumulative deposition of S reached 6000 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> in high emission areas (Mylona 2002). Since then S deposition has fallen considerably as a result of political initiatives in Europe. The 1985 Helsinki Protocol on the Reduction of Sulphur Emissions or their Transboundary Fluxes and the 1994 Oslo Protocol on Further Reduction of Sulphur Emissions have achieved a 60% reduction in S emissions in Europe (1980–1997) (EMEP 1999).

N deposition has the potential to impact on grassland plant community composition in a number of different ways, resulting in changes in tissue nutrient stoichiometry and metabolism (e.g. Pitcairn et al. 1998; Gidman et al. 2006; Arroniz-Crespo et al. 2008), changes in species composition (e.g. Mountford et al. 1993; Stevens et al. 2009b) and changes in species richness (e.g. Stevens et al. 2004; Clark & Tilman 2008; Duprè et al. 2010). There are several ways in which N deposition can bring about these changes.

Because N is the limiting nutrient in many terrestrial ecosystems, the addition of N can increase primary productivity resulting in increased competition for light and other resources. This can lead to an increased dominance of competitive species that are better able to take advantage of the increased nutrients (Bobbink et al. 1998; Hautier et al. 2009). N also has the potential to acidify soils, through the deposition of nitric acid in precipitation, oxidation of dry-deposited compounds and an increase in plant uptake and N transformations in the soil. The resultant reductions in soil pH can reduce the available species pool and result in changes in species composition (Schuster & Diekmann 2003; Tyler 2003). N deposition


can also result in increased susceptibility to insect herbivory (Brunsting & Heil 1985), increased incidence of drought and frost stress (Caporn et al. 2000; Sheppard & Leith 2002) and, at high air concentrations of nitrite, nitrate and ammonium, can cause leaf damage and growth reduction (Pearson & Stewart 1993), although concentrations this high are generally only found in the immediate vicinity of point sources.

The addition of N to semi-natural vegetation typically results in an increase in competitive species (Wedin & Tilman 1993; Wilson et al. 1995) or a reduction in acid intolerant species (Stevens et al. 2010b). Results from previous studies on acid grasslands have shown that species richness declined in relation to N deposition over both spatial gradients (Stevens et al. 2004; Maskell et al. 2010) and through time (Duprè et al. 2010). Changes in species richness and composition in acid grasslands in the UK have been associated with higher KCl-extractable ammonium in the soil, lower pH (Stevens et al. 2006) and higher aluminium and other metal availabilities in soils (Stevens et al. 2009a).

Changes in species composition in relation to N deposition have previously been examined at local and national scales in a range of habitats (e.g. Smart et al. 2003; Bennie et al. 2006) as well as in experimental manipulations (e.g. Mountford et al. 1993; Carroll et al. 2003). In this investigation, we use a survey of 153 acid grasslands belonging to the *Violin caninae* alliance (Schwickerath 1944) in ten countries within the Atlantic biogeographic region of Europe to investigate variation in species composition and underlying explanatory variables. We examine the variation in species composition in a clearly defined community type along a long N deposition gradient (total atmospheric N deposition ranging from 2.4 to 43.5 kg · N · ha<sup>-1</sup> · yr<sup>-1</sup>), and aim to quantify the amount of variation in species composition attributed to different explanatory variables and specifically to deposition variables.

## Methods

One hundred and fifty-three *Violin caninae* grasslands were surveyed between 2002 and 2007 within the Atlantic biogeographic zone of Europe (Fig. 1). The acidic grasslands visited were selected in a stratified manner to cover the range of atmospheric N deposition in Europe. Grasslands in the vicinity of point sources of nitrogen (e.g. large pig or poultry farms) were avoided. All of the grasslands were managed by grazing or cutting and none were fertilized. To ensure consistent community selection across the geographic gradient, a list of indicator or dominant species of the community was drawn up that had to be found on a site before the survey was carried




**Fig. 1.** Distribution of the 153 *Violion caninae* grasslands surveyed in the Atlantic biogeographic region of Europe.

out. Despite the large geographical range over which the community was surveyed, there were no marked differences in the community between countries, as shown by the relatively short DCA gradient (Fig. 2). At each site, five randomly located 2 m × 2 m quadrats were surveyed within a 1-ha area. Within each quadrat, all vascular plants and bryophytes were identified to species level and their cover estimated using the Domin scale (see Rich et al. 2005). Areas within the grassland that belonged to other plant communities (according to the dominant or indicator species), those strongly affected by animals, tracks and paths, or in the rain shadows of trees or hedges were excluded from the survey. A description of the site was made and data collected on latitude, longitude, aspect, slope, extent of grassland, soil depth (to bedrock) and surrounding vegetation.

Soil samples were collected from each quadrat. Topsoil samples were taken at a depth of 0–10 cm below the litter layer. Samples were taken from two opposing corners of the quadrat, bulked to make one sample per quadrat and kept cool during transit.

In the laboratory, soil samples were air dried and ground to < 2 mm prior to analysis. For total carbon (C) and N analysis, soils were ground to a fine powder. Soil pH was determined using a pH probe in a 1:5 slurry of soil:deionized water (Thomas 1996). Nitrate, ammonium and metal concentrations were analysed using two



**Fig. 2.** DCA ordination of sites surveyed, coded according to country. The gradient lengths for axes 1 and 2 are 2.73 and 2.27, respectively; eigen values are 0.236 and 0.190.

different methods. Sixty-eight samples from the UK collected in 2002 and 2003 were leached with 1 M KCl (MAFF 1986) and the resulting nitrate and ammonium

analysed using ion chromatography. Other samples were shaken with 0.4 M NaCl and analysed using an auto-analyser. For all samples, metal concentrations were determined using an ICP-MS. A comparison between the two methodologies demonstrated that results were comparable (not shown). Total C and N content of the soil and plant material was analysed using a CN element analyser. Plant available phosphorus was calculated in an Olsen extraction (MAFF 1986). All samples were analysed within 3 months of collection. Full details of soil analysis are given in Stevens et al. (2010a).

Meteorological data for all sites were obtained from the European Space Agency Monitoring Agriculture with Remote Sensing (MARS) unit (MARS 2009); 10-year averages (1996–2006) were calculated for each site for mean annual potential evapotranspiration, mean minimum daily temperature, mean maximum daily temperature and mean annual rainfall. Radiation index was calculated based on latitude, aspect and slope (Oke 1987).

For each site, total N, reduced N, oxidized N and sulphur (S) deposition data were modelled using the best available deposition model. National models were used for Germany (Gauger et al. 2002), the Netherlands (Van Jaarsveld 1995; Asman & van Jaarsveld 2002; Van Jaarsveld 2004) and the United Kingdom (Smith et al. 2000; NEG-TAP 2001). For all other countries, the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP)-based Integrated Deposition Model (IDEM) (Pieterse et al. 2007) was used. Comparisons between models revealed that results were very similar for many areas where both models were available. The exceptions were areas with very variable altitude; for these areas, national models, which have a smaller resolution than the EMEP model, were used. For all of the models, deposition was calculated as a 3-year average (2000–2003).

For the five quadrats at each site, both mean Domin scores (groupings of percentage cover) and constancy values (frequency in the five quadrats) were tested and gave very similar results, so constancy scores were selected for the final analysis. Major gradients were explored using indirect gradient analysis with detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) in CANOCO 4.5 (Biometris, Wageningen, The Netherlands). Correlation coefficients between 19 environmental variables (latitude, longitude, radiation index, inclination, management type, mean daily maximum temperature, soil pH, soil aluminium, calcium, magnesium and manganese concentrations, nitrate concentration, ammonium concentration, Olsen phosphorus concentration, total C and N content, C:N, total atmospheric N and S deposition) and site scores of DCA axes were calculated. A log-transformation was applied to some variables to achieve normality. For further analysis, highly intercorrelated variables

( $r > 0.6$ ) were removed (altitude, radiation index, transpiration, mean daily minimum temperature, rainfall, subsoil pH, iron concentration, nitrate concentration, ammonium concentration and Olsen extractable phosphate). Latitude and temperature although highly correlated were both retained due to their potential importance as drivers of species composition on such a large geographical scale. A correlation matrix is provided in Appendix S1. To reduce the number of environmental variables, those variables that were significantly correlated with the DCA axes were selected using Minitab 15 (Minitab Inc., 2007, USA). Divalent base cations (calcium, magnesium) and manganese were added together to further reduce the number of variables (Kleinebecker et al. 2008). Sulphur deposition and soil N were retained in the analyses, as they were variables of particular interest to this investigation, although they were correlated with some other variables. These environmental variables were used in a canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) with forward selection and rare species down-weighted. Variables that did not show a significant relationship in the forward selection were removed. Variance partitioning was conducted by running a series of partial CCAs using three groups of variables: deposition, soil and climate and geographic variables (Table 1) to determine the relative contributions of each group to the overall variance (Borcard et al. 1992). CCA was performed using CANOCO 4.5 (Biometris, Wageningen, The Netherlands).

## Results

A total of 398 species were found in the 153 sites. The species recorded most frequently in the data set were *Agrostis capillaris* L. (150 sites), *Luzula campestris* (L.) DC. (128 sites), *Rhynchospora squarrosus* (Hedw.) Warnst (124 sites), *Potentilla erecta* (L.) Rauschel (116 sites) and *Galium saxatile* L. (113 sites). Grassland swards were typically grass-dominated, with variable amounts of forb and bryophyte cover. DCA (Fig. 2) showed good overlap between the sites surveyed in different countries, but a latitudinal gradient is apparent on axis 1. The DCA ordination analyses showed relatively short gradient lengths considering the large geographical variance in the grasslands surveyed. The gradient length of axis 1

**Table 1.** Grouping of variables used in variance partitioning.


| Group                           | Variables  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Deposition                      | Total inorganic N deposition and S deposition  |
| Soil                            | Topsoil pH, exchangeable aluminium concentration, exchangeable base cation concentration, % C, % N and C:N ratio |
| Climate and geographic location | Latitude, longitude and mean daily maximum temperature   |

**Table 2.** Correlation coefficients between DCA axis scores and environmental variables. Significant correlation coefficients are marked as: \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ .

| Environmental Variable   | Axis 1    | Axis 2    | Axis 3    |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Latitude   | -0.649*** | 0.070     | 0.073     |
| Longitude  | 0.294***  | -0.255*** | 0.627***  |
| Altitude (m asl)   | -0.360*** | 0.155     | -0.108    |
| Log inclination (°)  | -0.398*** | 0.165*    | -0.252**  |
| Radiation index  | 0.328***  | -0.042    | 0.118     |
| Mean monthly maximum temperature (°C)                                      | 0.663***  | -0.131    | -0.078    |
| Mean monthly minimum temperature (°C)                                      | 0.468***  | -0.080    | -0.290*** |
| Mean annual rainfall (mm)  | -0.029    | 0.095     | -0.258**  |
| Mean annual evapotranspiration (mm)  | -0.604*** | -0.019    | -0.078    |
| Topsoil pH   | 0.629***  | 0.308***  | -0.190*   |
| Log aluminium concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> · dry soil)               | -0.446*** | -0.139    | -0.102    |
| Log base cation concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> · dry soil)             | -0.351*** | 0.474***  | -0.381*** |
| Log C (%)  | -0.458*** | 0.151     | 0.151     |
| Log N (%)  | -0.203*   | -0.114    | -0.113    |
| C:N  | -0.301    | -0.447*** | 0.166*    |
| KCl extractable nitrate concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> · dry soil)     | -0.368*** | 0.397***  | 0.179*    |
| KCl extractable ammonium concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> · dry soil)    | -0.196*   | -0.129    | -0.011    |
| Olsen extractable P concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)           | 0.013     | 0.073     | 0.122     |
| Management type (grazing or mowing)  | 0.551***  | -0.196*   | -0.018    |
| Total inorganic N deposition (kg N · ha <sup>-1</sup> · yr <sup>-1</sup> ) | -0.301*** | -0.293*** | 0.464***  |
| Total inorganic S deposition (kg S · ha <sup>-1</sup> · yr <sup>-1</sup> ) | -0.355*** | -0.104*** | 0.006     |

was 2.73 and that of axis 2 was 2.27. The total inertia in the DCA was 3.006. The sample scores of axis 1 of the DCA analysis were significantly correlated with a number of variables. Significant correlations with an  $r$  value greater than 0.4 were observed for latitude, management type, mean daily maximum temperature, topsoil pH, aluminium concentration and C content. For axis 2 of the DCA analysis, sample scores were significantly and strongly correlated with base cation concentration and soil C:N ratio. Sample scores on axis 3 were significantly correlated with total N deposition and longitude (Table 2).


After excluding highly inter-correlated variables we used 11 variables (Table 1) in the CCA. These variables explained 24% of the total variation in the species composition. Variance partitioning of the explained variation showed that soil variables (topsoil pH, log aluminium concentration, log C content, log N content, C:N ratio) were the group that explained the most variation in the data set, accounting for 38.0% of the constrained total inertia. Climate and geographic variables (latitude, longitude and mean daily maximum temperature) accounted for 30.8% of the variation in the constrained total inertia. A further 13.3% of the variation was accounted for by a combination of these variables. Deposition variables (N and S deposition) alone explained 9.8% of the variation in the constrained total inertia, with a further 6.2% overlap in explanatory power between deposition and soil variables. The remaining 1.9% of the variation was



**Fig. 3.** Amount of variation in species composition described by CCA analysis that is explained by three groups of explanatory variables: deposition (N deposition and S deposition), soil (topsoil pH, aluminium concentration, base cation concentration, C content, N content and C:N ratio) and climate and geographic location (latitude, longitude and mean daily maximum temperature). Areas of circles in the Venn diagram show approximately the percentage of variation explained relative to the total variation explained by the full CCA model (24%).

explained by overlap between the three variable groups (Fig. 3).

CCA was also used to identify species positively and negatively associated with N deposition. For this constrained ordination, N and S deposition were used as environmental variables and all other variables were used as co-variables. Figure 4 shows only those species that occurred in more than 10% of sites. Species most strongly



**Fig. 4.** CCA ordination diagram (axes 1 and 2) for all species with N and S deposition as environmental variables and climate and soil variables used as co-variables. Rare species are down-weighted. Species plotted occurred in more than 10% of sites and species positively or negatively associated with N deposition (assessed by their positions in the ordination diagram) are named.

positively associated with N deposition in the ordination diagram were *Holcus mollis* L., *Leontodon hispidus* L., *Festuca ovina sensu lato* L., *Nardus stricta* L., *Cerastium fontanum* Baumg. and *Juncus effusus* L. Species that were rarer within the data set but showed a particularly strong association with high N deposition were *Senecio jacobaea* L. and *Cynosurus cristatus* L. Species most strongly negatively associated with N deposition were *Agrostis curtisii* Kerguelen, *Viola riviniana* Reichenb., *Leontodon autumnalis* L., *Campanula rotundifolia* L. and *Hylocomium splendens* (Hedw.) Br. Eur. Species that were rarer within the data set but showed a particularly strong association with low N deposition were *Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L. and *Hypericum pulchrum* L.

## Discussion

Climate and geographic variables explain almost a third of species composition variation in our study. Further influence of climate may have been missed, as we did not consider the hydrology and water-holding capacity of each soil at each site. Given the large spatial gradient over which this study has been conducted, the importance of climate in influencing species composition is also of no surprise. The variability in climatic factors across the gradient is large, with mean daily minimum temperatures ranging from  $-0.6^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $10.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and mean daily max-

imum temperatures ranging from  $6.8^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $18.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Rainfall also varies considerably across the gradient, from  $498\text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  to  $1971\text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ .

Atmospheric deposition alone explains 9.8% of the variation in species composition in our data set. As shown in Fig. 3, there is a strong influence of soil on the species composition found along the gradient of atmospheric deposition used in this study. We need to consider, however, that N and S deposition have the potential to acidify soils, which presents problems in disentangling their impacts on the vegetation community. Soil acidification and consequent mobilization of metals and reduction in base cation availability have been observed in this grassland community and related to N deposition (Stevens et al. 2009a, 2010b), and changes in soil C:N have also been related to N deposition (Stevens et al. in press). As the proportion of variation that is jointly explained by deposition and soil is small, it is likely that the influence of deposition on soils is not fully accounted for in the overlap found here. This may be partly due to the large variability in the soil textures and types encountered in this survey, leading to differences in how the deposited N is processed in the soil. As a consequence of the influence of N and S deposition on soil chemistry, the variation explained by deposition and the variation explained by soil cannot be considered entirely independent. N and S deposition were considered together in our analysis since they are highly correlated ( $r=0.45$ ) in our data set, which presents problems in disentangling their degree of influence on the community composition.

The results for species composition found in this study contrast with results obtained for species richness (Stevens et al. 2010a). For species richness, geographic and physical variables (location, climate and site characteristics) explained very little of the variation ( $<1\%$ ), whereas here climate and geographical variables explain almost one-third of the variation. Species richness was reduced by atmospheric deposition, most likely due to the loss of rare species in the different regions. As a result, in this study the compositional shift is not as evident, given that the more dominant species remain the same. The vast majority of the species found in this survey occurred across the whole of the spatial extent of the survey, but there were some notable exceptions, such as *Agrostis curtisii*, which replaces *A. capillaris* as the dominant grass in some sites in the west of France and the southwest of England. The restricted distribution of *A. curtisii* is probably related to climatic and edaphic factors (Ivimey-Cook 1959). There were a number of other species which, although not showing strongly restricted distribution in our study area, were only found in this community in some geographical areas or were at a much higher abundance in some areas (e.g. *Arnica montana* L.).

Species that were most strongly associated with low N deposition tend to be forbs that are poor competitors and are not tolerant of highly acidic soils. *Viola riviniana* is described in Grime et al. (2007) as intermediate between stress-tolerator and C-S-R strategist but, perhaps more importantly, it is rarely found in the most acid soils. This may also be true of *Campanula rotundifolia*, also intermediate between stress-tolerator and C-S-R, but again, rarely found on strongly acid soils (Grime et al. 2007). *C. rotundifolia* is also a poor competitor with vigorous grasses (Sinker et al. 1991) so may not be competing well with grass species that are encouraged by high N deposition. *Leontodon autumnalis* is a species typical of intermediate fertility but is also found commonly on weakly acid soils rather than highly acid soils (Ellenberg et al. 1991; Hill et al. 1999). The moss *Hylocomium splendens* has been shown to decrease with N additions in several forest experiments. Doses of  $30 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{N} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$  caused a strong decline of *H. splendens* abundance in Sweden (Dirkse & Martakis 1992), and a decline was also identified in coniferous forests in southern Germany over a 20–40 year period. The latter was attributed to sensitivity to acidification (Rodenkirchen 1992). Duprè et al. (2010) also identified a decline in *H. splendens* in the UK from analysis of historic quadrat data collected between 1960–1975 and from 1975–2003. The limited distribution of *Agrostis curtisii* means that the strong association with low N deposition for this species should be interpreted with some caution; however, it is a species very typical of infertile habitats (Ellenberg N score of 1 in Hill et al. 1999).

Species most strongly associated with high N deposition were *Holcus mollis*, *Festuca ovina*, *Nardus stricta*, *Cerastium fontanum*, *Leontodon hispidus* and *Juncus effusus*. None of these species are typical of fertile habitats but, given that the vegetation community in which we were working is characterized by extremely poor soils, this is what would be expected. Both *H. mollis* and *J. effusus* tend towards being competitive species and are both tolerant of very acid soils, while *C. fontanum* is a more ruderal species (Grime et al. 2007). An increase in graminoid species is often associated with increased N deposition (Stevens et al. 2009b; Duprè et al. 2010) and *H. mollis* increased in relative frequency in Germany and the UK between 1939–1975 and 1975–2007. The association of *L. hispidus* with high deposition is more surprising as this species is not typical of highly acidic or nutrient-rich habitats (Ellenberg et al. 1991; Hill et al. 1999) and requires further investigation.

It is clear from this analysis that N deposition has the potential to influence vegetation community composition in acid grasslands, both directly and indirectly through soil-mediated effects. Although secondary to climate gradients and soil biogeochemistry, the impact of N and S

deposition on species composition can be detected, even at a large spatial scale. These results have important implications for conservation management, and suggest that in order to maintain acid grasslands in good condition we need to reduce N deposition or manage grasslands in a way that mitigates its effects.

### Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the European Science Foundation through the EUODIVERSITY programme, and national funds were provided by the DFG (Germany), NERC (United Kingdom), NWO (The Netherlands) and INRA, ADEME and Aquitaine Region (France). Some of the data analysis presented here was funded by The Open University Department of Life Sciences. We are grateful to everyone who assisted with field and laboratory work, and to conservation agencies and landowners who gave permission for sampling. MMU hosted CS as a visiting research fellow for part of this project.

### References

- Arroniz-Crespo, M., Leake, J.R., Horton, P. & Phoenix, G.K. 2008. Bryophyte physiological responses to, and recovery from, long-term nitrogen deposition and phosphorus fertilisation in acidic grassland. *New Phytologist* 180: 864–874.
- Asman, W.A.H. & van Jaarsveld, J.A. 2002. A variable-resolution transport model applied for NH<sub>x</sub> in Europe. *Atmospheric Environment* 26A: 445–464.
- Bennie, J., Hill, M.O., Baxter, R. & Huntley, B. 2006. Influence of slope and aspect on long-term vegetation change in British chalk grasslands. *Journal of Ecology* 94: 355–368.
- Bobbink, R., Hornung, M. & Roelofs, J.G.M. 1998. The effects of air-borne nitrogen pollutants on species diversity in natural and semi-natural European vegetation. *Journal of Ecology* 86: 717–738.
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P. & Drapeau, P. 1992. Partialling out the spatial component of ecological variation. *Ecology* 73: 1045–1055.
- Brunsting, A.M.H. & Heil, G.W. 1985. The role of nutrients in the interactions between a herbivorous beetle and some competing plant species in heathlands. *Oikos* 44: 23–26.
- Caporn, S.J.M., Ashenden, T.W. & Lee, J.A. 2000. The effect of exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> on frost hardiness in *Calluna vulgaris*. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 43: 111–119.
- Carroll, J.A., Caporn, S.J.M., Johnson, D., Morecroft, M.D. & Lee, J.A. 2003. The interactions between plant growth, vegetation structure and soil processes in semi-natural acidic and calcareous grasslands receiving long-term inputs of simulated pollutant nitrogen deposition. *Environmental Pollution* 121: 363–376.
- Clark, C.M. & Tilman, D. 2008. Loss of plant species after chronic low-level nitrogen deposition to prairie grasslands. *Nature* 451: 712–715.



- Dirkse, G.M. & Martakis, G.F.P. 1992. Effects of fertilizer on bryophytes in Swedish experiments on forest fertilization. *Biological Conservation* 59: 155–161.
- Duprè, C., Stevens, C.J., Ranke, T., Bleeker, A., Pepler-Lisbach, C., Gowing, D.J.G., Dise, N.B., Dorland, E., Bobbink, R. & Diekmann, M. 2010. Changes in species richness and composition in European acidic grasslands over the past 70 years: the contribution of cumulative atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Global Change Biology* 16: 344–357.
- Ellenberg, H., Weber, H.E., Dull, R., Wirth, V., Werner, W. & Paulissen, D. 1991. Zeigerwerte von pflanzen in Mitteleuropa. *Scripta Geobotanica* 18: 1–248.
- EMEP 1999. *Transboundary acid deposition in Europe. EMEP emission data. Status report 1999 of the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme*. EMEP/MS-C-W
- Fagerli, H. & Aas, W. 2008. Trends of nitrogen in air and precipitation: model results and observations at EMEP sites in Europe, 1980–2003. *Environmental Pollution* 154: 448–461.
- Galloway, J.N., Townsend, A.R., Erisman, J.W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J.R., Martinelli, L.A., Seitzinger, S.P. & Sutton, M.A. 2008. Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: recent trends, questions and potential solutions. *Science* 320: 889–892.
- Gauger, T., Anshelm, F., Schuster, H., Erisman, J.W., Vermeulen, A.T., Draaijers, G.P.J., Bleeker, A. & Nagel, H.-D. 2002. *Mapping of ecosystems specific long-term trends in deposition loads and concentrations of air pollutants in Germany and their comparison with Critical Loads and Critical Levels*. Institut für Navigation, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, DE.
- Gidman, E.A., Stevens, C.J., Goodacre, R., Broadhurst, D., Emmett, B. & Gwynn-Jones, D. 2006. Loss of forb diversity in relation to nitrogen deposition in the UK: regional trends and potential controls. *Global Change Biology* 12: 1823–1833.
- Grime, J.P., Hodgeson, J.G. & Hunt, R. 2007. *Comparative plant ecology: a functional approach to common British species*. Unwin Hyman, London, UK.
- Hautier, Y., Niklaus, P.A. & Hector, A. 2009. Competition for light causes plant biodiversity loss after eutrophication. *Science* 324: 636–638.
- Hill, M.O., Mountford, J.O., Roy, D.B. & Bunce, R.G.H. 1999. *Ellenberg's indicator values for British plants. ECOFACT Volume 2. Technical Annex*. DETR, Rotherham, UK.
- Ivimey-Cook, R.B. 1959. Biological flora of the British Isles: *Agrostis setacea*. *Journal of Ecology* 47: 691–706.
- Kleinebecker, T., Holzel, N. & Vogel, A. 2008. South Patagonian ombrotrophic bog vegetation reflects biogeochemical gradients at the landscape level. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 19: 151–160.
- MAFF 1986. *The analysis of agricultural materials*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, UK.
- MARS 2009. "European Commission Joint Research Centre". <http://www.mars.jrc.it>.
- Maskell, L.C., Smart, S.M., Bullock, J.M., Thompson, K. & Stevens, C.J. 2010. Nitrogen deposition causes widespread species loss in British habitats. *Global Change Biology* 16: 671–679.
- Mountford, J.O., Lakhani, K.H. & Kirkham, F.W. 1993. Experimental assessment of the effects of nitrogen addition under hay-cutting and aftermath grazing on the vegetation of meadows on a Somerset peat moor. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 30: 321–332.
- Mylona, S. 2002. Sulphur dioxide emissions in Europe 1880–1991 and their effect on sulphur concentrations and depositions. *Tellus* 48: 662–689.
- NEG-TAP 2001. *Transboundary air pollution: acidification, eutrophication and ground-level ozone in the UK*. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, UK.
- Oke, T.R. 1987. *Boundary layer climates*. Methuen, New York, NY, US.
- Pearson, J. & Stewart, G.R. 1993. Tansley Review No.56. The deposition of atmospheric ammonia and its effects on plants. *New Phytologist* 125: 283–305.
- Phoenix, G.K., Hicks, W.K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J.C.L., Stock, W.D., Dentener, F.J., Giller, K.E., Austin, A.T., Lefroy, R.D., Gimeno, B.S., Ashmore, M.R. & Ineson, P. 2006. Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biology* 12: 470–476.
- Pieterse, G., Bleeker, A., Vermeulen, A.T., Wu, Y. & Erisman, J.W. 2007. High resolution modelling of atmosphere–canopy exchange of acidifying and eutrophying components and carbon dioxide for European forests. *Tellus* 59B: 412–424.
- Pitcairn, C.E.R., Leith, I.D., Sheppard, L.J., Sutton, M.A., Fowler, D., Munro, R.C., Tang, S. & Wilson, D. 1998. The relationship between nitrogen deposition, species composition and foliar nitrogen concentrations in woodland flora in the vicinity of livestock farms. *Environmental Pollution* 102: 41–48.
- Rich, T., Redbane, M., Fasham, M., McMeechan, F. & Dobson, D. 2005. Ground and shrub vegetation. In: Hill, D., Fasham, M., Tucker, G., Shewry, M. & Shaw, P. (eds.) *Handbook of biodiversity methods: survey, evaluation and monitoring*. pp. 201–222. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Rodenkirchen, H. 1992. Effects of acidic precipitation, fertilization and liming on the ground vegetation in coniferous forests of Southern Sweden. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution* 61: 279–294.
- Sala, O.E., Chapin, F.S., Armesto, J.J., Berlow, E., Bloomfield, J., Dirzo, R., Huber-Sanwald, E., Huenneke, L.F., Jackson, R.B., Kinzig, A., Leemans, R., Lodge, D.M., Mooney, H.A., Oesterheld, M., Poff, N.L., Sykes, M.T., Walker, B.H., Walker, M. & Wall, D.H. 2000. Biodiversity – global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* 287: 1770–1774.



- Schuster, B. & Diekmann, M. 2003. Changes in species density along the soil pH gradient – evidence from German plant communities. *Folia Geobotanica* 38: 367–379.
- Schwickerath, M. 1944. Das Hohe Venn und seine Randgebiete. *Pflanzensoziologie* 6: 1–278.
- Sheppard, L.J. & Leith, I.D. 2002. Effects of NH<sub>3</sub> fumigation on the frost hardiness of *Calluna* – does N deposition increase winter damage by frost? *Phyton-annales rei botanicae* 42: 183–190.
- Sinker, C.A., Packham, J.R., Trueman, I.C., Oswald, P.H., Perring, F.H. & Prestwood, W.V. 1991. *Ecological flora of the Shropshire region*. Shropshire Wildlife Trust, Shrewsbury, UK.
- Smart, S.M., Robertson, J.C., Shiels, E.J. & Van de Poll, H.M. 2003. Locating eutrophication effects across British vegetation between 1990 and 1998. *Global Change Biology* 9: 1763–1774.
- Smith, R.I., Fowler, D., Sutton, M.A., Flechard, C. & Coyle, M. 2000. Regional estimation of pollutant gas dry deposition in the UK: model description, sensitivity analyses and outputs. *Atmospheric Environment* 34: 3757–3777.
- Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B., Mountford, J.O. & Gowing, D.J. 2004. Impact of nitrogen deposition on the species richness of grasslands. *Science* 303: 1876–1879.
- Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B., Gowing, D.J. & Mountford, J.O. 2006. Loss of forb diversity in relation to nitrogen deposition in the UK: regional trends and potential controls. *Global Change Biology* 12: 1823–1833.
- Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B. & Gowing, D.J. 2009a. Regional trends in soil acidification and metal mobilisation related to acid deposition. *Environmental Pollution* 157: 313–319.
- Stevens, C.J., Maskell, L.C., Smart, S.M., Caporn, S.J.M., Dise, N.B. & Gowing, D.J. 2009b. Identifying indicators of atmospheric nitrogen deposition impacts in acid grasslands. *Biological Conservation* 142: 2069–2075.
- Stevens, C.J., Duprè, C., Dorland, E., Gaudnik, C., Gowing, D.J.G., Bleeker, A., Diekmann, M., Alard, D., Bobbink, R., Fowler, D., Corcket, E., Mountford, J.O., Vandvik, V., Aarrestad, P.A., Muller, S. & Dise, N.B. 2010a. Nitrogen deposition threatens species richness of grasslands across Europe. *Environmental Pollution* 158: 2940–2945.
- Stevens, C.J., Thompson, K., Grime, J.P., Long, C.J. & Gowing, D.J.G. 2010b. Contribution of acidification and eutrophication to declines in species richness of calcifuge grasslands along a gradient of atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Functional Ecology* 24: 478–484.
- Stevens, C.J., Duprè, C., Dorland, E., Gaudnik, C., Gowing, D.J.G., Bleeker, A., Diekmann, M., Alard, D., Bobbink, R., Fowler, D., Corcket, E., Mountford, J.O., Vandvik, V., Aarrestad, P.A., Muller, S. & Dise, N.B. In press. The impact of nitrogen deposition on acid grasslands in the Atlantic region of Europe. *Environmental Pollution* doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2010.11.026.
- Thomas, G.W. 1996. Soil pH and soil acidity. In: Sparks, D.L. (ed.) *Chemical methods*. pp. 475–490. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, US.
- Tyler, G. 2003. Some ecophysiological and historical approaches to species richness and calcicole/calcifuge behaviour – contribution to a debate. *Folia Geobotanica* 38: 419–428.
- Van Jaarsveld, J.A. 1995. *Modelling the long-term atmospheric behaviour of pollutants on various spatial scales*. University of Utrecht, Utrecht, NL.
- Van Jaarsveld, J.A. 2004. *The operation priority substances model*. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, NL.
- Wedin, D. & Tilman, D. 1993. Competition among grasses along a nitrogen gradient: initial conditions and mechanisms of competition. *Ecological Monographs* 63: 199–219.
- Wilson, E.J., Wells, T.C.E. & Sparks, T.H. 1995. Are calcareous grasslands in the UK under threat from nitrogen deposition? – an experimental determination of a critical load. *Journal of Ecology* 83: 823–832.

### Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Table S1.** Correlation matrix (abbreviations used in table shown in parentheses) for longitude, latitude, altitude, radiation index (radiation), log inclination (inclination), management type (manage), mean annual evapotranspiration (evopot), mean monthly maximum temperature (max temp), mean monthly minimum temperature (min temp), mean annual rainfall (rainfall), topsoil pH (pH), log soil aluminium concentration (Al), log soil nitrate concentration (NO<sub>3</sub>), log soil ammonium concentration (NH<sub>4</sub>), soil Olsen extractable phosphorus concentration (Olsen P), soil C content (carbon), soil N content (nitrogen), soil C:N ratio (C:N), total inorganic N deposition (N dep) and total inorganic S deposition (S dep), log soil base cation concentration (cation). Statistically significant correlations ( $P < 0.05$ ) are shown in red. Units for all measurements are given in Table 2.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.